IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

FRIDAY, THE 29TH JUNE 2007 / 8TH ASHADHA 1929

WP(C).No. 19947 of 2007(Y)

PETITIONER:

S.SOJA, W/O.NAZARUDEEN, AGED 37 YEARS,
P.D.TEACHER, GOVERNMENT HIGH SCHOOL
NEDUNGOLAM, KOLLAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.K.E.HAMZA

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT, TRIVANDRUM.

2. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION,
KOLLAM DISTRICT.

3. THE HEADMISTRESS,
GOVERNMENT HIGH SCHOOL, NEDUNGOLAM,
KOLLAM DISTRICT.

BY GOVT.PLEADER SRI.A.J.VARGHESE.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 29/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

A.K. Basheer, J.

- -

W.P(C) No. 19947 of 2007

- -

Dated this the 29th day of June, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

Petitioner was initially appointed as Primary Division Teacher in Wayanad District on the advice of the Kerala Public Service Commission in the year 1993. According to the petitioner she had applied for the post of P.D.Teacher in Kollam district also before she got appointment in Wayanad. Subsequently in 2004 she was advised by the Commission for appointment as P.D.Teacher in Kollam District. Accordingly she joined in Kollam District pursuant to the above advice and appointment. According to the petitioner while working in Wayanad district, she was granted higher grade. After joining in Kollam, petitioner has lost the above benefit she had been getting in Wayanad.

2. It is contended by the petitioner that she is entitled to get the benefit of service that she had rendered in the same category, in all respects. I do not propose to deal with the above contention at this stage in view of the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner at the Bar. He submits that petitioner has preferred Ext.P9 representation before respondent No.2 highlighting all the relevant aspects of the matter. The limited prayer is to issue a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on Ext.P9 expeditiously.

3. In the above facts and circumstances the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and pass orders on Ext.P9 strictly on its merit and in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within 2 months from the date

of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Needless to mention, petitioner shall be afforded sufficient opportunity to be heard before any decision is taken in the matter.

Petitioner shall produce a certified copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before respondent No.2 for compliance.

A.K. Basheer
Judge.

an.